# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

X	
ANTHONY PENNA,	
Plaintiff v. PORT AUTHORITY TRANS HUDSON CORP.,	17 Civ.  JURY TRIAL REQUESTED
DefendantX	

## **COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff, by his attorneys, Flynn & Wietzke, PC, complains of the Defendant and alleges:

#### THE PARTIES

- 1. The plaintiff is a resident of the State of New Jersey, County of Middlesex, and City of Carteret.
- 2. The defendant is a railroad carrier corporation providing railroad transportation, in interstate commerce by rail and operates a railroad system and railroad yards within the jurisdiction of this Court and in various other States, with a usual place of business in Jersey City, New Jersey and New York, New York.
- 3. Prior to February 18, 2016, and at all times hereinafter mentioned, the defendant employed the plaintiff as a Pump Maintainer II under its direction, supervision and control and in furtherance of defendant's business in interstate commerce.
- 4. Prior to February 18, 2016 and at all times hereinafter mentioned, the defendant maintained, operated and controlled the Flat 28 Tunnel F4-5-6 in Jersey City, New Jersey which contained defendant's tracks, rails, switches, sidings, roadbeds and appurtenances thereto, over, through and upon which the defendant operated engines, trains and cars under its control and direction.
- 5. During all times herein mentioned, the defendant was and is engaged in interstate commerce by providing railroad transportation among multiple states.

### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. The plaintiff brings the First Cause of Action against the defendant for violation of the Federal Employers' liability Act, 45 U.S.C. §51 et seq. (FELA).
  - 7. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction in this case pursuant to 45 U.S.C. §56.
- 8. Venue is proper in this District because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this District, because defendant resides in this District and because defendant does business in this District.

#### **FACTS**

- 9. At the time of the defendant's FELA violations, the plaintiff was employed by the defendant and qualified as an employee within the meaning of 45 U.S.C. § 51.
- 10. On February 18, 2016, the plaintiff was working as a Pump Maintainer II at the direction and training of defendant, when plaintiff was placing a pipe wrench on a coupling to prevent it from spinning while installing pipe near the coupling, he braced himself by straddling the wall of the flat, stepping on a can of pipe dope causing his leg to shoot out from under him, injuring his left knee.
- 11. Defendant's conduct, more specifically set forth below, caused, in whole or in part, the plaintiff to suffer various physical, psychological and economic harms.
- 12. Plaintiff's injuries include, but are not limited to, ACL tear; torn medial and lateral meniscus left leg, requiring surgery and resulting in permanent limitations and the likelihood of future knee replacement surgery.

## COUNT I Violation of FELA

13. The plaintiff adopts by reference and realleges each and every allegation set forth in the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint with the same force and effect as if set forth under this cause of action.

- 14. This Cause of Action is brought under the Federal Employers' Liability Act, (45 U.S.C. Sec. 51 et seq.).
- 15. On or about February 18, 2016, while the plaintiff, an employee of the defendant, was in the performance of his duties as a Pump Maintainer II on Flat 288 Tunnel F4-5-6 in Jersey City, New Jersey, the defendant, its agents, servants and employees, so negligently and carelessly conducted themselves toward the plaintiff:
  - a. in failing to provide plaintiff with a reasonably safe place to work;
  - b. in failing to warn plaintiff of debris;
  - c. in failing to remove debris from flatbed;
  - d. in failing to maintain the flatbed truck;
  - e. in failing to provide a level working service;
  - f. in providing inadequate manpower for maintenance of the flatbed surface;
  - g. in failing to provide a manner for the orderly maintenance of the flatbed surface;
  - h. in failing to supervise;
  - i. in failing to inspect;
  - j. in failing to maintain plaintiff's work place; and, so negligently failed and neglected to enact and enforce safety rules, regulations, procedures, and practices for activities carried out by its personnel at the said place, that all of the foregoing brought about severe and disabling injuries to plaintiff, as set forth above.
- 16. The relevant injuries occurred while the plaintiff was acting in the furtherance of interstate commerce or in work closely or substantially affecting the same.
  - 17. The plaintiff was damaged thereby in the sum of \$750,000.00.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff demands judgment against the defendant on Count I in the sum of SEVEN HUNDRED THOUSAND (\$750,000.00) DOLLARS; together with the costs and disbursements of this action.

Respectfully submitted

MARC WIETZKE

FLYNN & WIETZKE, PC 1205 Franklin Avenue Garden City, NY 11530

Tel.: (516) 877-1234

E-mail: MWietzke@felaattorney.com